

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mander re application of: Bellier et al.

Application No.: 09/875,823

Group No.: 2133

Filed: April 30, 2001

Examiner: Phung M. Chung

For: METHOD OF TRANSMITTING SIGNALING MESSAGES IN A MOBILE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

### AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

JAN 2 1 2004

Technology Center 2100

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

### **STATUS**

2.	Applicant is
	☐ a small entity. A statement:
	☐ is attached.
	was already filed.
	other than a small entity.

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

### MAILING

☑ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as firstclass mail, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Director of the US Patent & Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: 1.16.04

#### **FACSIMILE**

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Signature

Cathy Wilcox

(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19] - page 1 of 4)

### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

1

	NOTE:	a Non-Fina	n of Time in Patent Cases (S al Office Action, an extension ation of the shortened state	on of ti	me is r							
		entry of a statutory Notice of	response has been filed aff Notice of Appeal or filing a period unless the timely-file Appeal has been filed within 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-3	and/or e ed respo in the sl	ntry of nse pl	f an additional amendment aced the application in cor	after expiration dition for allowa	of the shortened ance. Of course, if a				
	NOTE:		F.R. §1.645 for extensions examination proceedings.	of time	e in int	erference proceedings, and	37 C.F.R. §1.5	550(c) for extensions of	•			
3.	-	proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 36 apply.										
ı			(com	plete	(a) o	r (b), as applicable)	1					
	(a)	•	plicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 37 C.F.R. §1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked									
					Fe	ee for other		Fee for				
	<u>E</u> :	xtensio	n (months)			n small entity		small entity				
		□ one	month		\$	110.00		\$ 55.00				
		□ two	months		\$	400.00		\$200.00				
		□ thre	ee months		\$	920.00		\$460.00				
	•	□ fou	r months		\$	1,440.00		\$720.00				
						Fee	e: \$					
theref		addition	al extension of	time	is r	equired, please	consider	this a petition				
	(check and complete the next item, if applicable)											
An extension for months has already been secur paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total total months of extension now requested.												
			Ε	Exter	nsio	n fee due with 1	this reque	est \$	_			
						OR						
	(b)	X	Applicant belie	ves	that	no extension o	f term is	required. Howev	ver,			

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19] - page 2 of 4)

this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for

extension of time.

### **FEE FOR CLAIMS**

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
CLAIMS F		IG	HIGHEST PREVIOL PAID FO	JSLY	PRESENT EXTRA	ADDIT. RATE	FEE	OR	ADDIT. RATE	FEE	
TOTAL:	23	MINUS	23	=	0	x \$9 =	\$		x \$18 =	= \$	
INDEP:	3	MINUS	3	=	0	x \$42 =	\$		x \$84 =	= \$	
☐ FIRST I	PRESENT	ATION OF	MULTIP	LE DEP.	. CLAIM		+\$14	0=\$	······································	+ \$280	= \$
							TOTAL ADDL. FEE			TOTAL ADDL. FEE	\$ 0.00

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. §1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

	(c)	☒ No additional fee for claims is required.
		OR
	(d)	☐ Total additional fee for claims required is \$
		FEE PAYMENT
5.		Attached is a check in the sum of \$
		Charge Account No the sum of \$ A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

### **FEE DEFICIENCY**

NOTE:

If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986 (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 23-0442

### AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 23-0442.

Signature of Practitioner

Reg. No.: 40,061 Kenneth Q. Lao

Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP

Telephone No.: (203) 261-1234 Bradford Green, Building Five

755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224

Customer No.: 004955 Monroe, CT 06468



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Application of: Bellier et al. : Attorney Docket No.: 944-003.084

Serial No.: 09/845,823 : Examiner: Phung M. Chung

Filed: April 30, 2001 : Art Unit: 2133

For: METHOD OF TRANSMITTING SIGNALING MESSAGES IN A MOBILE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED

JAN 2 1 2004

Technology Center 2100

## RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION (Paper No. 6)

Sir:

This responds to the Non-Final Office Action, mailed December 18, 2003.

In the patent application, claims 1-23 are pending. In the Office Action, all pending claims are rejected.

At section 2, the Examiner rejects claims 1-4, 6-7, 9 and 13-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Raith* (U.S. Patent No. 6,498,936). At section 3, claims 5, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Raith* in view of admitted prior art. In rejecting these claims, the Examiner states that *Raith* discloses means for substituting a first error correction/detection code in a block with a shorter second error detection code. However, the Examiner fails to specifically point out where in the specification does *Raith* disclose such means.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today, January 16, 2004, with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Cathy Wilcox

It is respectfully submitted that *Raith* discloses a method of sending PCH messages. In particular, *Raith* disclose error correction coding the entire message in addition to error correction coding the frames of the message (col. 4, lines 27-34; claim 1). By <u>doubly</u> coding the message with error correction coding on the entire message and on the frames separately, long messages can be reliably transmitted using the broadcast channel which is designed for short messages, notwithstanding fading and other problems in the transmission. Using this double error correction coding technique, *Raith* can send messages using a TDMA system that includes a Digital Control CHannel (DCCH) having a short message Service Broadcast Control CHannel (S-BCCH) logical channel (col. 4, lines 54-57). A broadcast channel, as normally understood, is a point-to-multipoint channel for use in one-way transmissions.

. . . ..

In contrast, the claimed invention is concerned with two-way communications between a mobile station and a base transceiver station using a control channel such as a slow associated control channel (SACCH). *Raith* does not disclose <u>substituting</u> a long error correction/detection code in a block with a shorter second error detection code in a control channel for two-way communications. *Raith* does not disclose <u>substituting</u> a long error correction/detection code in a block with a shorter second error detection code in a SACCH channel.

For the foregoing reasons, the claims invention is distinguishable over the cited *Raith* reference.

Early allowance of claims 1-23 is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

New Law

Kenneth Q. Lao

Attorney for the Applicant Registration No. 40,061

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON LLP Bradford Green, Building Five 755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224 Monroe, CT 06468 Telephone: (203) 261-1234

Facsimile: (203) 261-5676 USPTO Customer No. 004955